arXiv:1409.3158v1 [math.AP] 27 Aug 2014

Asymptotic solutions of the 1D nonlocal Fisher–KPP equation

A. V. Shapovalov [*†] and A. Yu. Trifonov [†*]

Abstract

Two analytical methods have been developed for constructing approximate solutions to a nonlocal generalization of the 1D Fisher–Kolmogorov–Petrovskii–Piskunov equation. This equation is of special interest in studying the pattern formation in microbiological populations.

In the greater part of the paper, we consider in detail a semiclassical approximation method based on the WKB–Maslov theory under the supposition of weak diffusion. The semiclassical asymptotics are sought in a class of trajectory concentrated functions. Such functions are localized in a neighborhood of a point moving in space. In terms of the semiclassical formalism developed, the original nonlinear equation is reduced to an associated linear partial differential equation and some algebraic equations for the coefficients of the linear equation with a given accuracy of the asymptotic parameter. The solutions of the nonlinear equation are constructed from the solutions of both the linear equation and the algebraic equations. A countable family of the leading terms of the semiclassical asymptotics is constructed in explicit form.

The semiclassical asymptotics are valid by construction in a finite time interval which can be small in the sense that a pattern has no time to form in this interval. In the final part of the paper, we have constructed asymptotics which are different from the semiclassical ones and can describe the evolution of the solutions of the Fisher–Kolmogorov–Petrovskii–Piskunov equation at large times. These asymptotics represent small perturbations on the background of

[*]Theoretical Physics Department, National Research Tomsk State University, 36 Lenin ave., 634050 Tomsk, Russia

[†]Laboratory of Mathematical Physics of Mathematical Physics Department, National Research Tomsk Polytechnic University, 30 Lenin ave., 634050 Tomsk, Russia

an exact quasi-stationary solution. In the example considered, an initial unimodal distribution becomes multimodal, which can be treated as pattern formation.

Introduction

Integro-differential equations (IDEs) of reaction-diffusion (RD) type are the theoretical basis for a study of nonlinear phenomena with long-range interactions in condensed matter physics, chemical kinetics, population biology, and other fields of nonlinear science. Nonlocal versions of the classical Fisher [1] and Kolmogorov–Petrovskii–Piskunov [2] (Fisher–KPP) population equation can describe the nonlinear phenomena inherent in RD systems even for one-species population dynamics, such as formation of population patterns [3] and spatially localized lumps [4], clustering [5], propagation of traveling and spiral waves [3, 6], and stability of steady states [7].

Mathematical modeling of these phenomena contributes to comprehension of the cancer morphogenesis (see, e.g., [3, 8, 9]) and the tumor growth [10–12]. The population dynamics under external influences is of particular interest from the standpoint of possible process control. The external factors are modeled by the variable coefficients in the integro-differential Fisher–KPP equation. Equations of this type are mathematically complex, and only few exact integration methods are available to solve them. The Fisher–KPP equation with local [13] and nonlocal [14] terms was considered in the framework of symmetry analysis [15–18]. Following this approach, we can find the coefficients that admit a symmetry group of the equation and construct a family of associated particular exact solutions. Solutions for traveling waves have been found for a reduced Fisher–KPP equation having the only wave independent variable that simplifies the construction of an exact solution in some special cases [19–22].

Approximate methods provide additional possibilities in constructing analytical solutions. An example is the effective particle method [23], which is in fact the well-known collective coordinate method (see [24] and references therein). It was originally proposed for Lagrangian equations and then adapted to the classical (local) Fisher–KPP equation. The method is simple and convenient to use, but, unfortunately, the accuracy of the relevant approximate solutions has never been evaluated or discussed. To solve the Fisher–KPP equation in a traveling wave study, homotopy analysis was used (for more details, see, e.g., [25, 26]).

The main objective of the work we present in this paper was to develop a technique which would allow us to apply approximate asymptotic methods

to the nonlocal one-dimensional Fisher–KPP equation.

In Section 1, we consider a one-dimensional nonlocal Fisher–KPP equation of general form which includes variable coefficients, local and nonlocal convection, and nonlocal competitive losses and discuss some basic ideas of the semiclassical approximation [27, 28, 30]. We introduce a class of trajectory concentrated functions in which the semiclassical asymptotics are constructed. The asymptotic small parameter here is the diffusion coefficient; therefore, the asymptotics are obtained in the approximation of weak diffusion and are valid for a relatively short time. In Section 2, we deduce a dynamical system describing the evolution of the moments of an unknown function of the equation and discuss algebraic conditions for integration constants. Then we perform a semiclassical reduction of the original problem to an auxiliary linear problem in Section 3 and construct semiclassical asymptotics to the associated linear equation in Section 4. In Section 5, using the results obtained in previous sections, we find a wide family of approximate particular solutions in explicit form that have the sense of the leading terms of the desired semiclassical asymptotics for the nonlocal Fisher–KPP equation. The family of solutions obtained can be a complete set of solutions. In Section 6, we construct asymptotic solutions representing a perturbation of some exact homogeneous solution. These asymptotics differ from the semiclassical ones and are valid for large times. In Section 7, we give our conclusion remarks.

1 The nonlocal Fisher–KPP equation: a semiclassical approximation

Consider the following version of the nonlocal Fisher–KPP equation:

$$\partial_t u(x,t) = D\partial_x^2 u(x,t) + a(x,t)u(x,t) - \partial_x \Big[V_x(x,t)u(x,t) + \\ + \kappa u(x,t) \int\limits_{-\infty}^{\infty} W_x(x,y,t)u(y,t)dy \Big] - \varkappa u(x,t) \int\limits_{-\infty}^{\infty} b(x,y,t)u(y,t)dy. \qquad (1)$$

Here $a(x,t)$, $b(x,y,t)$, $V(x,t)$, and $W(x,y,t)$ are given infinitely smooth functions increasing, as $|x|, |y| \to \infty$, no faster than the polynomial; $\varkappa (> 0)$ is a real nonlinearity parameter; $\partial_t = \partial/\partial t$, $\partial_x = \partial/\partial x$, $\partial_x^2 = \partial^2/\partial x^2$, $V_x(x,t) = \partial_x V(x,t)$, and $W_x(x,y,t) = \partial_x W(x,t)$.

The functions $V(x,t)$ and $W(x,y,t)$ in equation (1) are the potentials of the local and the nonlocal average convective velocity, respectively.

The term $-\varkappa u(x,t)\int\limits_{-\infty}^{\infty} b(x,y,t)u(y,t)dy$ stands for the nonlocal competition losses and is characterized by an influence function $b(x,y)$ with the range parameter γ. To simplify the notation, we do not introduce γ in the function $b(x,y)$ explicitly.

Note that the Fisher–KPP equation with a local convection term was investigated by da Cunha et al. [31, 32] and that with a nonlocal convection term by Ei [33, 34] and Clerc et al. [35]. The one-dimensional Fisher–KPP equation of the form (1) was also briefly considered by the authors of Ref. [37], where a general scheme of the solution construction was presented. Here we describe the construction of the semiclassical asymptotics in detail.

The diffusion coefficient D is taken as the small asymptotic parameter. The supposition of weak diffusion is the necessary condition that makes it possible to apply the semiclassical approach to Cauchy problems with "narrow" initial density distributions (i.e. distributions having a small variance at the time zero). For the Fisher–KPP equation, this problem is similar to the problem of constructing wave packets in quantum mechanics [29]. Based on the complex WKB method, or the Maslov complex germ theory [27, 28], a method have been developed for constructing asymptotic solutions in the form of localized wave packets similar to the wave packet solutions of the Schrödinger equation in quantum mechanics [29]. The method of semiclassical asymptotics was also applied to nonlinear equations; in particular, a Cauchy problem was solved for a Hartree-type equation [38].

To construct asymptotic solutions of equation (1), we introduce a class of functions $\mathcal{P}_t^D(X(t,D),S(t,D))$. The functions of this class singularly depend on the small parameter D, a real function $S(t,D)$ (analog to the action in classical mechanics), and a trajectory $x=X(t,D)$.

The functions $S(t,D)$ and $X(t,D)$ are regular in the parameter $\sqrt{D}$ in a neighborhood of $D=0$ (i.e. they can be expressed as a power series in $\sqrt{D}$). The functions of the class $\mathcal{P}_t^D(X(t,D),S(t,D))$ are concentrated, as $D\to 0$, in a neighborhood of a point moving in the coordinate space along a curve given by the equation $x=X(t,0)$, and are termed trajectory-concentrated functions.

Let us define the common element of the class $\mathcal{P}_t^D(X(t,D),S(t,D))$ as

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathcal{P}_t^D\big(X(t,D),S(t,D)\big)=\\&=\left\{\Phi:\Phi(x,t,D)=\varphi\left(\frac{\Delta x}{\sqrt{D}},t,D\right)\exp\left[\frac{1}{D}S(t,D)\right]\right\}.\end{aligned}\qquad(2)$$

Here $\Delta x=x-X(t,D)$. The real function $\varphi(\eta,t,D)$ belongs to the Schwarz space $\mathbb{S}$ in the variable $\eta\in\mathbb{R}^1$, smoothly depends on t, and regularly depends

on $\sqrt{D}$ as $D \to 0$. The real functions $S(t,D)$ and $X(t,D)$, which characterize the class, are to be determined. We shall use the contracted notation $\mathcal{P}_t^D$ instead of $\mathcal{P}_t^D(X(t,D),S(t,D))$ if this does not cause misunderstanding.

By analogy with the work described elsewhere [29, 38], it can be shown that for the functions of the class $\mathcal{P}_t^D$ the following asymptotical estimates hold

$$\frac{\|\hat{p}^k \Delta x^l u\|}{\|u\|} \sim O(D^{(k+l)/2}), \quad \frac{\|\hat{T}(X(t,D),t)u\|}{\|u\|} \sim O(D), \tag{3}$$

where

$$\hat{p} = D\partial_x, \quad \hat{T}(X(t,D),t) = D\partial_t + \dot{X}(t,D)D\partial_x - \dot{S}(t,D). \tag{4}$$

In particular, from (3) it follows that the operators can be estimated as $\hat{p} \sim \widehat{O}(\sqrt{D})$ and $\Delta x \sim \widehat{O}(\sqrt{D})$. The norm $\|u\|$ is understood in the sense of the space L_2.

2 The Einstein–Ehrenfest system

To develop a method for constructing the semiclassical solutions to equation (1) in the class of trajectory concentrated functions (2), we assume the existence of the moments

$$\sigma_u(t,D) = \int\limits_{-\infty}^{\infty} u(x,t,D)dx, \quad x_u(t,D) = \frac{1}{\sigma_u(t,D)} \int\limits_{-\infty}^{\infty} xu(x,t,D)dx, \tag{5}$$

$$\alpha_u^{(k)}(t,D) = \frac{1}{\sigma_u(t,D)} \int\limits_{-\infty}^{\infty} [x - X(t,D)]^k u(x,t,D)dx. \tag{6}$$

Here, σ_u and x_u are the zero-order and the first-order moment, respectively, and $\alpha_u^{(k)}, k \geq 2$, is the kth central moment of a solution $u(x,t,D) \in \mathcal{P}_t^D$.

From (3) we obtain the corresponding estimates for $\sigma_u(t)$, $x_u(t)$, and $\alpha_u^{(k)}$, $k \geq 2$:

$$\frac{\sigma_u(t)}{||u||} \sim O(1), \quad x_u(t) \sim O(1), \quad \alpha_u^{(k)}(t,D) = O(D^{k/2}), \tag{7}$$

and without loss of generality, we can assume that $\sigma_u(t,D) = O(1)$ and $x_u(t,D) = O(1)$.

Let us deduce a dynamical system describing the evolution of the moments (5), (6). This is the bicharacteristic system for equation (1). The correspondence between the dynamical system and equation (1) is similar

to that between classical and quantum mechanics equations. In this context, the system for (5), (6) plays the part of the Hamiltonian equations of classical mechanics and equation (1) corresponds to the quantum-mechanical Schrödinger equation [27–29].

Let

$$a(x,t)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\frac{a_k(t,D)}{k!}\Delta x^k,\ b(x,y,t)=\sum_{k,l=0}^{\infty}\frac{b_{k,l}(t,D)}{k!l!}\Delta x^k\Delta y^l, \tag{8}$$

$$V_x(x,t)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\frac{V_k(t,D)}{k!}\Delta x^k,\ W_x(x,y,t)=\sum_{k,l=0}^{\infty}\frac{W_{k,l}(t,D)}{k!l!}\Delta x^k\Delta y^l \tag{9}$$

be formal power series of the functions $a(x,t), b(x,y,t), V_x(x,t),\ W_x(x,y,t)$ in equation (1) in a neighborhood of $X(t,D)$. Here, $\Delta y = y - X(t,D)$,

$$a_k(t,D)=\left.\frac{\partial^k a(x,t)}{\partial x^k}\right|_{x=X(t,D)}, \tag{10}$$

$$b_{k,l}(t,D)=\left.\frac{\partial^{k+l} b(x,y,t)}{\partial x^k\partial y^l}\right|_{\substack{x=X(t,D),\\ y=X(t,D)}}, \tag{11}$$

$$V_k(t,D)=\left.\frac{\partial^k V_x(x,t)}{\partial x^k}\right|_{x=X(t,D)}, \tag{12}$$

$$W_{k,l}(t,D)=\left.\frac{\partial^{k+l} W_x(x,y,t)}{\partial x^k\partial y^l}\right|_{\substack{x=X(t,D),\\ y=X(t,D)}}. \tag{13}$$

Below we use for convenience the shorthand notations $a_k(t,D) = a_k(t)$, $b_{k,l}(t,D) = b_{k,l}(t)$, $V_k(t,D) = V_k(t)$, and $W_{k,l}(t,D) = W_{k,l}(t)$.

We impose the technical condition

$$x_u(t,D)=X(t,D) \tag{14}$$

on the functional parameter $X(t,D)$ of the functions $u(x,t,D)$ belonging to the class $\mathcal{P}_t^D$ of trajectory concentrated functions (2). Then from (5), (6), and $\Delta x = x - X(t,D) = x - x_u(t,D)$ it follows that $\alpha_u^{(0)}(t,D) = 1$ and $\alpha_u^{(1)}(t,D) = 0$.

Differentiating (5) and (6) with respect to t and substituting $\partial_t u(x,t)$ from (1) and the expansions (8) and (9) in the resulting relations, we obtain the following system of evolution equations for the moments $\sigma_u = \sigma_u(t,D)$, $x_u = x_u(t,D)$, and $\alpha_u^{(k)} = \alpha_u^{(k)}(t,D)$:

$$\dot\sigma_u=\sigma_u\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\frac{1}{k!}\left[a_k(t)\alpha_u^{(k)}-\sigma_u\varkappa\sum_{l=0}^{\infty}\frac{1}{l!}b_{k,l}(t)\alpha_u^{(k)}\alpha_u^{(l)}\right]; \tag{15}$$

$$\dot{x}_u = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!}\alpha_u^{(k+1)}\left[a_k(t) - \sigma_u\varkappa\sum_{l=0}^{\infty}\frac{1}{l!}b_{k,l}(t)\alpha_u^{(l)}\right] +$$
$$+ \sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\frac{1}{k!}\alpha_u^{(k)}\left[V_k(t) + \sigma_u\varkappa\sum_{l=0}^{\infty}\frac{1}{l!}W_{k,l}(t)\alpha_u^{(l)}\right]; \tag{16}$$

$$\dot{\alpha}_u^{(n)} = Dn(n-1)\alpha_u^{(n-2)} + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\frac{1}{k!}\Big[nV_k(t)\big(\alpha_u^{(k+n-1)} - \alpha_u^{(k)}\alpha_u^{(n-1)}\big) +$$
$$+ a_k(t)\big(\alpha_u^{(k+n)} - \alpha_u^{(k)}\alpha_u^{(n)} - n\alpha_u^{(k+1)}\alpha_u^{(n-1)}\big)\Big] +$$
$$+ \varkappa\sigma_u\sum_{k,l=0}^{\infty}\frac{1}{k!l!}\Big[nW_{k,l}(t)\alpha_u^{(l)}\big(\alpha_u^{(k+n-1)} - \alpha_u^{(k)}\alpha_u^{(n-1)}\big) +$$
$$+ b_{k,l}(t)\alpha_u^{(l)}\big(-\alpha_u^{(n+k)} + n\alpha_u^{(k+1)}\alpha_u^{(n-1)} + \alpha_u^{(k)}\alpha_u^{(n)}\big)\Big]. \tag{17}$$

Introducing the aggregate vector of the moments

$$\Theta_u(t,D) = (\sigma_u(t,D), x_u(t,D), \alpha_u^{(2)}(t,D), \alpha_u^{(3)}(t,D), \dots), \tag{18}$$

we can rewrite system (15)–(17) in shorthand form as

$$\dot{\Theta}_u(t,D) = \Gamma(\Theta_u(t,D), t, D). \tag{19}$$

The right-hand side of (19),

$$\Gamma(\Theta_u(t,D),t,D) = (f(\Theta_u(t,D),t,D), g(\Theta_u(t,D),t,D),$$
$$h^{(2)}(\Theta_u(t,D),t,D), h^{(3)}(\Theta_u(t,D),t,D), \dots),$$

consists of the functions $f, g, h^{(2)}, h^{(3)}, \dots$ corresponding to the moments $\sigma_u, x_u, \alpha_u^{(2)}, \dots$ the form of which is obvious from (15), (16), and (17).

The last system (19) formally consists of an infinite number of equations. In view of the estimates (7), we can reduce this system to a finite number of equations accurate to $O(D^{(M+1)/2})$, conserving the moments $\alpha_u^{(k)}$ of orders $k \le M$ with the order M fixed. The reduced system written in shorthand form like (19) reads

$$\dot{\Theta}_u^{(M)}(t,D) = \Gamma^{(M)}(\Theta_u^{(M)}(t,D), t, D) + O(D^{(M+1)/2}). \tag{20}$$

Here we have used the notation

$$\Theta_u^{(M)}(t,D) = \big(\sigma_u(t,D), x_u(t,D), \alpha_u^{(2)}(t,D), \alpha_u^{(3)}(t,D), \dots, \alpha_u^{(M)}(t,D)\big), \tag{21}$$

$$\Gamma^{(M)}(\Theta_u^{(M)}(t,D),t,D)=\Gamma^{(M)}=\big(f^{(M)},g^{(M)},h^{(2,M)},h^{(3,M)},\dots,h^{(M,M)}\big). \tag{22}$$

In the functions $f^{(M)},g^{(M)},h^{(2,M)},\dots,h^{(M,M)}$ of system (20), we conserve the terms of orders not higher than $O(D^{(M/2)})$, using the estimate

$$\alpha_u^{(k_1)}\alpha_u^{(k_2)}\dots\alpha_u^{(k_s)}=O(D^{(k_1+k_2+\dots+k_s)/2})$$

directly following from (7).

Consider a system of ordinary differential equations

$$\dot\Theta(t)=\Gamma^{(M)}(\Theta(t);t,D) \tag{23}$$

for an aggregate vector

$$\Theta(t)=(\sigma(t),x(t),\alpha^{(2)}(t),\alpha^{(3)}(t),...,\alpha^{(M)}(t)), \tag{24}$$

where $\Gamma^{(M)}(\Theta(t),t,D)$ is taken from (22).

We call equations (23) the *Einstein–Ehrenfest (EE) system* of order M for the Fisher–KPP equation (1).

The EE system (23) is a closed system of evolution equations (i.e. the number of unknown functions is equal to the number of equations), and hence there exists a unique solution of the Cauchy problem for (23).

Suppose that equation (1) has an exact solution $u(x,t,D)$ (or a solution different from $u(x,t,D)$ by $O(D^\infty)$) in the class of trajectory-concentrated functions (2) with the initial condition

$$u(x,t,D)|_{t=0}=\varphi(x,D)\in\mathcal{P}_0^D. \tag{25}$$

Specify the initial condition for system (23) as

$$\Theta(t)|_{t=0}=\mathfrak{g}_\varphi^{(M)}=(\sigma_\varphi^{(M)},x_\varphi^{(M)},\alpha_\varphi^{(2,M)},\alpha_\varphi^{(3,M)},\dots,\alpha_\varphi^{(M,M)}), \tag{26}$$

where

$$\begin{gathered}\sigma|_{t=0}=\sigma_\varphi^{(M)}=\int\limits_{-\infty}^{\infty}\varphi(x,D)dx,\quad x|_{t=0}=x_\varphi^{(M)}=\frac{1}{\sigma_\varphi}\int\limits_{-\infty}^{\infty}x\varphi(x,D)dx,\\ \alpha^{(k)}|_{t=0}=\alpha_\varphi^{(k,M)}=\frac{1}{\sigma_\varphi}\int\limits_{-\infty}^{\infty}(x-x_\varphi)^k\varphi(x,D)dx,\quad k=2,\dots,M.\end{gathered} \tag{27}$$

Denote by

$$\mathfrak{g}_\varphi^{(M)}(t)=(\sigma_\varphi^{(M)}(t),x_\varphi^{(M)}(t),\alpha_\varphi^{(2,M)}(t),\dots,\alpha_\varphi^{(M,M)}(t)) \tag{28}$$

the solution of the Cauchy problem for system (23) with the initial condition (26), (27) and by

$$\mathfrak{g}^{(M)}(t,\mathbf{C}) = (\sigma^{(M)}(t,\mathbf{C}), x^{(M)}(t,\mathbf{C}), \alpha^{(2,M)}(t,\mathbf{C}), \dots, \alpha^{(M,M)}(t,\mathbf{C})) \quad (29)$$

the general solution of system (23), where $\mathbf{C}$ is a set of integration constants. We use the shorthand notations $\sigma_\varphi^{(M)}(t)$, $x_\varphi^{(M)}(t)$, and $\alpha_\varphi^{(k,M)}(t)$ for $\sigma_\varphi^{(M)}(t,D)$, $x_\varphi^{(M)}(t,D)$, and $\alpha_\varphi^{(k,M)}(t,D)$, respectively.

The main point in constructing the semiclassical solutions to equation (1) is that the solution (29) of the the Einstein–Ehrenfest system (23) can be obtained independently of the solution of the Fisher–KPP equation (1).

Denote by $\mathbf{C}_\varphi$ the set of integration constants $\mathbf{C}$ in (29) determined by the *algebraic* condition

$$\mathfrak{g}^{(M)}(0,\mathbf{C}) = \mathfrak{g}_\varphi^{(M)}; \quad (30)$$

that is, we have $\mathfrak{g}^{(M)}(0,\mathbf{C}_\varphi) = \mathfrak{g}_\varphi^{(M)}$. Obviously, $\mathbf{C}_\varphi$ is a (vector) functional of φ.

We do not discuss here the purely algebraic solvability problem for equation (30) that assumes the existence of $\mathbf{C}_\varphi$. In particular cases, $\mathbf{C}_\varphi$ can be found explicitly (see Section 5 below).

By virtue of the uniqueness of the solution of the Cauchy problem (23), (26) and in view of (30), we have

$$\mathfrak{g}^{(M)}(t,\mathbf{C}_\varphi) = \mathfrak{g}_\varphi^{(M)}(t). \quad (31)$$

Consider the aggregate vector

$$\mathfrak{g}_u^{(M)}(t) = (\sigma_u(t), x_u(t), \alpha_u^{(2)}(t), \dots, \alpha_u^{(M)}(t)) \quad (32)$$

of the moments $\sigma_u(t), x_u(t), \alpha_u^{(k)}(t)$, $2 \le k \le M$, for the solution $u(x,t,D)$ given by (5) and (6). Obviously, $\mathfrak{g}_u^{(M)}(t)$ satisfies the EE system (23) accurate to $O(D^{(M+1)/2})$:

$$\mathfrak{g}_u^{(M)}(t) = \mathfrak{g}_\varphi^{(M)}(t) + O(D^{(M+1)/2}) = \mathfrak{g}^{(M)}(t,\mathbf{C}_\varphi) + O(D^{(M+1)/2}), \quad (33)$$

and the initial condition

$$\mathfrak{g}_u^{(M)}(0) = \mathfrak{g}_\varphi^{(M)}. \quad (34)$$

Denote by $\mathbf{C}_u(t)$ the integration constants $\mathbf{C}$ in (29) obeying the algebraic condition (30), i.e.

$$\mathfrak{g}^{(M)}(t,\mathbf{C_u}(\mathbf{t})) = \mathfrak{g}_u^{(M)}(t). \quad (35)$$

Comparing (35) with (33), we can conclude that

$$\mathbf{C}_u(t) = \mathbf{C}_\varphi + O(D^{(M+1)/2}). \quad (36)$$

In view of the estimates (7) and equations (31), (35) for $\varphi \in \mathcal{P}_t^D$, we have

$$\frac{\sigma_\varphi(t)}{||\varphi||} \sim O(1), \quad x_\varphi(t) \sim O(1), \quad \alpha_\varphi^{(k)}(t,D) = O(D^{k/2}). \tag{37}$$

To satisfy the estimates (7), (37) in constructing the semiclassical asymptotics to equation (1) with the use of the general solution (29), we assume that

$$\begin{aligned} &\sigma^{(M)}(t,\mathbf{C}) \sim O(1), \quad x^{(M)}(t,\mathbf{C}) \sim O(1), \\ &\alpha^{(k,M)}(t,\mathbf{C}) = O(D^{k/2}), \quad \text{and} \quad \Delta x = x - x^{(M)}(t,\mathbf{C}) \sim \widehat{O}(\sqrt{D}). \end{aligned} \tag{38}$$

This implies that the functionals $\mathbf{C}_u(t)$ provide a set of integrals for the Fisher–KPP equation (1).

System (20) comprises information relevant to the solution $u(x,t,D)$. In particular, the localization properties of the solution are characterized by the moments (5), (6).

As a simple illustrative example, consider system (23), restricting the consideration to the terms written accurate to $O(D^{(3/2)})$. To do this, we conserve the terms of orders not higher than $O(D)$ in (21) and write system (20) for the case $M = 2$ as

$$\dot\sigma_u = \sigma_u\Big[a(t) + \frac{1}{2}a_2(t)\alpha_u^{(2)}\Big] - \sigma_u^2\varkappa\Big[b(t) + \frac{1}{2}\big(b_{0,2}(t) + b_{2,0}(t)\big)\alpha_u^{(2)}\Big], \tag{39}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \dot x_u = {}& V(t) + \varkappa\sigma_u W(t) + \Big[a_1(t) + \frac{1}{2}V_2(t) + \\ &+ \varkappa\sigma_u\Big(\frac{1}{2}(W_{0,2}(t) + W_{2,0}(t)) - b_{1,0}(t)\Big)\Big]\alpha_u^{(2)}, \end{aligned} \tag{40}$$

$$\dot\alpha_u^{(2)} = 2D + 2V_1(t)\alpha_u^{(2)} + 2\varkappa\sigma_u W_{1,0}(t)\alpha_u^{(2)}. \tag{41}$$

In particular, for $a(x,t) = a = \text{const}$, $V(x,t) = W(x,y,t) = 0$, $b(x,y,t) = b(x-y)$, and $db(x)/dx|_{x=0} = 0$, we get equations (39)–(41) in simpler form:

$$\dot\sigma_u = a\sigma_u - \varkappa\sigma_u^2(b + \beta\alpha_u^{(2)}), \tag{42}$$

$$\dot x_u = 0, \tag{43}$$

$$\dot\alpha_u^{(2)} = 2D, \tag{44}$$

where $b = b(0)$ and $\beta = d^2b(x)/dx^2|_{x=0}$.

Equation (43) gives $x_u(t,D) = x_u(0,D)$, i.e. the solution $u(x,t,D)$ in (5), (6) is localized in a neighborhood of a fixed point $x_u(0,D)$.

From equation (44) we see that $\alpha_u^{(2)}(t,D) = 2Dt + \alpha_u^{(2)}(0,D)$, i.e. the variance of the function $u(x,t,D)$ is the same as that for a linear diffusion with the diffusion coefficient D.

Integrating the generalized Verhulst equation (42), we obtain

$$\sigma_u(t,D)=a\left[e^{-at}\left(\frac{a}{\sigma_u(0,D)}-\varkappa w\right)+2D\varkappa\beta t+\varkappa w\right]^{-1}, \tag{45}$$

where $w=b+\beta\left(\alpha^{(2)}(0,D)-2D/a\right)$, and (43), (44) yield

$$\begin{aligned} &x_u(t,D)=x_u(0,D),\\ &\alpha_u^{(2)}(t,D)=2Dt+\alpha_u^{(2)}(0,D). \end{aligned} \tag{46}$$

Note that if $b(x,y,t)$ is an asymmetric function in the sense that $\partial_x b(x,y,t)|_{x=y=X(t,D)}=v(t,D)\neq 0$, then $\dot{x}_u=-\varkappa v\sigma_u\alpha_u^{(2)}$. This implies that the localization region of the solution $u(x,t,D)$ surrounding a point $x_u(t,D)$ moves in space due to a nonlocal interaction in the system.

3 Semiclassical reduction to an auxiliary linear problem

Now we can construct semiclassical asymptotic solutions to the nonlocal Fisher–KPP equation (1) using the solutions of the EE system (23).

To this end, we expand the functions $b(x,y,t)$ and $W(x,y,t)$ in equation (1) in powers of $\Delta y=y-x_u(t,D)$ with the remainder of the order $O(D^{(M+1)/2})$ to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \Big\{ &-\partial_t+D\partial_x^2+a(x,t)-\\ &-\partial_x\left[V_x(x,t)+\varkappa\sigma_u(t,D)\sum_{l=0}^{M}\frac{1}{l!}W_l(x,x_u,t)\alpha_u^{(l)}(t,D)\right]-\\ &-\varkappa\sigma_u(t,D)\sum_{l=0}^{M}\frac{1}{l!}b_l(x,x_u,t)\alpha_u^{(l)}(t,D)\Big\}u(x,t)=O(D^{(M+1)/2}), \end{aligned} \tag{47}$$

where

$$W_l(x,x_u,t)=\frac{\partial^l W_x(x,y,t)}{\partial y^l}|_{y=x_u(t,D)}, \tag{48}$$

$$b_l(x,x_u,t)=\frac{\partial^l b(x,y,t)}{\partial y^l}|_{y=x_u(t,D)}. \tag{49}$$

The moments $\sigma_u(t,D)$ and $\alpha_u^{(l)}(t,D)$ are given by (5) and (6), $l=0,1,\ldots,M$.

Substituting the general solution (29) of the Einstein–Ehrenfest system (23) of order M instead of the corresponding moments σ_u, x_u, $\alpha_u^{(k)}$, $k = 2, \ldots, M$, in (47), we obtain a *linear* partial differential equation

$$\hat{L}(x,t,\mathbf{C})v(x,t,\mathbf{C}) = \\ = \big[-\partial_t + D\partial_x^2 - \partial_x \Lambda^{(M)}(x,t,\mathbf{C}) + A^{(M)}(x,t,\mathbf{C})\big] v(x,t,\mathbf{C}) = O(D^{(M+1)/2}), \tag{50}$$

where

$$\Lambda^{(M)}(x,t,\mathbf{C}) = V_x(x,t) + \varkappa\sigma^{(M)}(t,\mathbf{C}) \sum_{l=0}^{M} \frac{1}{l!} W_l(x, x^{(M)}(t,\mathbf{C}), t)\alpha^{(l,M)}(t,\mathbf{C}), \tag{51}$$

$$A^{(M)}(x,t,\mathbf{C}) = a(x,t) - \varkappa\sigma^{(M)}(t,\mathbf{C}) \sum_{l=0}^{M} \frac{1}{l!} b_l(x, x^{(M)}(t,\mathbf{C}), t)\alpha^{(l,M)}(t,\mathbf{C}). \tag{52}$$

Here, $\alpha^{(0,M)}(t,\mathbf{C}) = 1$, $\alpha^{(1,M)}(t,\mathbf{C}) = 0$, and the form of $W_l(x, x^{(M)}(t,\mathbf{C}), t)$ and $b_l(x, x^{(M)}(t,\mathbf{C}), t)$ is clear from (48) and (49).

Equation (50) can be considered as a family of linear PDEs parametrized by the arbitrary integration constants $\mathbf{C}$.

We shall term equation (50) with the coefficients (51), (52) *the associated linear Fisher–KPP equation* of order M.

Note that, according to (52) and (15), we can write

$$A^{(\infty)}(x,t,\mathbf{C}) - \frac{\dot{\sigma}(t,\mathbf{C})}{\sigma(t,\mathbf{C})} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} \Bigg[a_k(t)[(\Delta x)^k - \alpha^{(k)}(t,\mathbf{C})] - \\ -\sigma(t,\mathbf{C})\varkappa \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{l!} b_{k,l}(t)[(\Delta x)^k - \alpha^{(k)}(t,\mathbf{C})]\alpha^{(l)}(t,\mathbf{C}) \Bigg]; \tag{53}$$

therefore, we have

$$A^{(M)}(t,\mathbf{C}) = a(t,\mathbf{C}) - \varkappa\sigma^{(M)}(t,\mathbf{C}) \sum_{l=0}^{M} \frac{1}{l!} b_l(t,\mathbf{C})\alpha^{(l,M)}(t,\mathbf{C}) = \\ = \frac{\dot{\sigma}(t,\mathbf{C})}{\sigma(t,\mathbf{C})} - \sum_{k=2}^{M} \frac{1}{k!} \Bigg[a_k(t)\alpha^{(k)}(t,\mathbf{C}) - \\ -\sigma(t,\mathbf{C})\varkappa \sum_{l=2}^{M} \frac{1}{l!} b_{k,l}(t)\alpha^{(k)}(t,\mathbf{C})\alpha^{(l)}(t,\mathbf{C}) \Bigg] + O(D^{(M+1)/2}). \tag{54}$$

Here and below we set $A^{(M)}(t,\mathbf{C}) = A^{(M)}(x^{(M)}(t,\mathbf{C}),t,\mathbf{C})$; in particular,

$$A^{(M)}(t,\mathbf{C}) = \frac{\dot\sigma^{(M)}(t,\mathbf{C})}{\sigma^{(M)}(t,\mathbf{C})} + O(D). \tag{55}$$

Let us reduce the search for a solution to the Cauchy problem for the Fisher–KPP equation (47) in the class $\mathcal{P}_t^D$ of trajectory concentrated functions accurate to $O(D^{(M+1)/2})$ to the search for a solution to the corresponding Cauchy problem for the associated linear equation (50), using the general solution (29) of the EE system (23).

To this end, we consider the Cauchy problems for equations (47) and (50) with the same initial condition

$$u|_{t=0} = v|_{t=0} = \varphi(x,D), \quad \varphi(x,D) \in \mathcal{P}_0^D. \tag{56}$$

Replace the arbitrary constants $\mathbf{C}$ in equation (50) by the constants $\mathbf{C}_\varphi$ found from (30).

In view of (31) and (33), the associated linear equation (50) transforms into equation (47) accurate to $O(D^{(M+1)/2})$.

Then, in virtue of the uniqueness of the Cauchy problem, we obtain the key theorem reducing the construction of semiclassical asymptotics for the nonlinear equation (1) to the search for a solution to the linear equation (50).

Theorem 1. The solutions of the Cauchy problem for the nonlinear equation (47) and of the Cauchy problem for the associated linear equation (50) with the same initial condition (56) are related as

$$u(x,t) = v^{(M)}(x,t,\mathbf{C}_\varphi) + O(D^{(M+1)/2}), \tag{57}$$

where the constants $\mathbf{C}_\varphi$ are determined by (30).

Note that the change from the Cauchy problem (47), (56) for $u(x,t)$ to the Cauchy problem (50), (56) for $v^{(M)}(x,t,\mathbf{C})$ is not the usual linearization of equation (50) by expanding its terms in power series in a small parameter. Equation (50) has the sense of an auxiliary problem for (47).

In the next section, we construct a solution to the Cauchy problem for the associated linear equation (50) in terms of semiclassical asymptotics.

4 Semiclassical asymptotics of the associated linear equation

Main idea of the search for a semiclassical solution to the Cauchy problem for the associated linear equation (50) can be formulated as follows:

Take a function $\varphi(x, D)$ which belongs to the class $\mathcal{P}_0^D$ of the form (2) at $t = 0$.

Let $v_{\text{ext}}(x, t, \mathbf{C}, D)$ be an exact solution of the Cauchy problem to equation (50) with the initial condition $\varphi(x, D)$, and a function $v_{\text{as}}(x, t, \mathbf{C}, D)$ of the class $\mathcal{P}_t^D$ be such that $v_{\text{as}}(x, 0, \mathbf{C}, D) = v_{\text{as}}(x, 0, D) = \varphi(x, D)$. Denote by $h^{(\mu)}(x, t, \mathbf{C}, D)$ the residual of the function $v_{\text{as}}(x, 0, D)$ for the operator $\hat{L}(x, t, \mathbf{C})$ in equation (50):

$$\hat{L}(x, t, \mathbf{C})v_{\text{as}}(x, t, \mathbf{C}, D) = h^{(\mu)}(x, t, \mathbf{C}, D). \tag{58}$$

According to [27], the following statement holds: if $||h^{(\mu)}|| = O(D^\mu)$, then

$$||v_{\text{ext}} - v_{\text{as}}|| = O(D^\mu). \tag{59}$$

The estimate (59) is valid for the functions v_{ext} and v_{as} belonging the class $\mathcal{P}_t^D$. As the solution of the Cauchy problem (50), (56) is continuous in t, the function v_{ext} belongs the class $\mathcal{P}_t^D$ at least in a certain time interval $[0, T]$, $t \in [0, T]$. Analysis of the asymptotic solutions for the Fisher–KPP equation (1) in particular cases (see, e.g., [36]) shows that the residual $h^{(\mu)}$ can either increase or decrease as $t \to \infty$. Therefore, the problem of finding the time interval $[0, T]$ where the semiclassical asymptotics hold requires a separate investigation that goes beyond the scope of this work.

Relation (59) implies that asymptotic solutions of the Cauchy problem should be constructed under the condition $\mu > 0$. Accordingly, it suffices to find the leading order term of the asymptotic solutions in the class $\mathcal{P}_t^D$ accurate to $O(D^{1/2})$.

Now we construct the semiclassical asymptotic solution of the Cauchy problem for the associated linear equation (50).

Expand the coefficients of the operator $\hat{L}(x, t, \mathbf{C})$ in terms of powers of $\Delta x = x - x^{(M)}(t, \mathbf{C})$, where $x^{(M)}(t, \mathbf{C})$ is a component of the general solution (29). Then the operator $D\hat{L}(x, t, \mathbf{C})$ reads

$$\begin{aligned} D\hat{L}(x, t, \mathbf{C}) = -\hat{T}(x^{(M)}(t, \mathbf{C}), t) + \dot{x}^{(M)}(t, \mathbf{C})\hat{p} - \dot{S}(t, D) + \hat{p}^2 - \\ -\hat{p}\sum_{l=0}^{M} \frac{1}{l!}\Lambda_{(l)}^{(M)}(t, \mathbf{C})\Delta x^l + D\sum_{l=0}^{M} \frac{1}{l!}A_{(l)}^{(M)}(t, \mathbf{C})\Delta x^l + \hat{O}(D^{l/2+1}). \end{aligned} \tag{60}$$

Here, $\hat{T}(x^{(M)}(t, \mathbf{C}), t) = D\partial_t + \dot{x}^{(M)}(t, \mathbf{C})\hat{p} - \dot{S}(t, D)$ and $\hat{p}$ are given by (4),

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda_{(l)}^{(M)}(t, \mathbf{C}) &= \frac{\partial^l \Lambda^{(M)}(x, t, \mathbf{C})}{\partial x^l}|_{x=x^{(M)}(t,\mathbf{C})}, \\ A_{(l)}^{(M)}(t, \mathbf{C}) &= \frac{\partial^l A^{(M)}(x, t, \mathbf{C})}{\partial x^l}|_{x=x^{(M)}(t,\mathbf{C})}. \end{aligned} \tag{61}$$

The function $S(t,D)$ is a free parameter of the class (2). Choosing $S(t,D)$ such that the terms proportional to the identity operator vanish in the operator (60), we have

$$\dot{S}(t,D) = DA^{(M)}(x^{(M)}(t,\mathbf{C}),t,\mathbf{C}). \tag{62}$$

From (55) and (62) it follows that

$$\exp\Big[\frac{1}{D}S(t,D)\Big] = \exp\Big[\int_0^t \frac{\dot{\sigma}^{(M)}(t,\mathbf{C})}{\sigma^{(M)}(t,\mathbf{C})}dt + O(D)\Big] = \\ = \frac{\sigma^{(M)}(t,\mathbf{C})}{\sigma^{(M)}(0,\mathbf{C})} + O(D). \tag{63}$$

The evolution equation of the EE system (23) for $x^{(M)}(t,\mathbf{C})$ reads

$$\dot{x}^{(M)} = \sum_{k=0}^{M}\frac{1}{k!}\alpha^{(k+1,M)}\big[a_k - \sigma^{(M)}\varkappa\sum_{l=0}^{M}\frac{1}{l!}b_{k,l}\alpha^{(l,M)}\big] + \\ + \sum_{k=0}^{M}\frac{1}{k!}\alpha^{(k,M)}\big[V_k + \sigma^{(M)}\varkappa\sum_{l=0}^{M}W_{k,l}\alpha^{(l,M)}\big], \tag{64}$$

where $a_k, b_{k,l}, V_k, W_{k,l}$ are given by (10)–(13), $\sigma^{(M)} = \sigma^{(M)}(t,\mathbf{C})$, $x^{(M)} = x^{(M)}(t,\mathbf{C})$, and $\alpha^{(k,M)} = \alpha^{(k,M)}(t,\mathbf{C})$. Insert $\dot{x}^{(M)}(t,\mathbf{C})$ from (64) in (60). Using estimates (3), (4), and (38), we present $D\hat{L}(x,t,\mathbf{C})$ in (60) as an expansion:

$$D\hat{L}(x,t,\mathbf{C}) = \hat{L}^{(M)}_{(0)}(t,\mathbf{C}) + \hat{L}^{(M)}_{(1)}(t,\mathbf{C}) + \hat{L}^{(M)}_{(2)}(t,\mathbf{C})\ldots, \tag{65}$$

where $\hat{L}^{(M)}_{(0)}(t,\mathbf{C}) \sim \hat{O}(D)$, $\hat{L}^{(M)}_{(1)}(t,\mathbf{C}) \sim \hat{O}(D^{3/2})$, and $\hat{L}^{(M)}_{(2)}(t,\mathbf{C}) \sim \hat{O}(D^2)$, ... After some calculations, we find $\hat{L}^{(M)}_{(k)}(t,\mathbf{C})$, $k = 0,1,2,\ldots$, as

$$\hat{L}^{(M)}_{(0)}(t,\mathbf{C}) = -\hat{T}(x^{(M)}(t,\mathbf{C}),t) + \hat{p}^2 - \hat{p}\Lambda^{(M)}_x(x^{(M)}(t,\mathbf{C}),t,\mathbf{C})\Delta x, \tag{66}$$

$$\hat{L}^{(M)}_{(1)}(t,\mathbf{C}) = \alpha^{(2,M)}(t,\mathbf{C})\big[a_x(x^{(M)}(t,\mathbf{C}),t) - \\ - \sigma^{(M)}(t,\mathbf{C})\varkappa\sum_{l=0}^{M}\frac{1}{l!}b_{1,l}\alpha^{(l,M)}(t,\mathbf{C}) + \frac{1}{2}V_2(t) + \\ + \frac{1}{2}\sigma^{(M)}(t,\mathbf{C})\varkappa\sum_{l=0}^{(M)}\frac{1}{l!}W_{2,l}(t)\alpha^{(l,M)}(t,\mathbf{C})\big]\hat{p} - \\ - \frac{1}{2}\Lambda^{(M)}_{xx}(x^{(M)}(t,\mathbf{C}),t,\mathbf{C})\hat{p}\Delta x^2 + DA^{(M)}_x(x^{(M)}(t,\mathbf{C}),t,\mathbf{C})\Delta x, \tag{67}$$

$$\hat{L}^{(M)}_{(2)}(t,\mathbf{C}) = \frac{1}{2}\alpha^{(3,M)}(t,\mathbf{C})\Big[a_2(x^{(M)}(t,\mathbf{C}),t)-$$
$$-\sigma^{(M)}(t,\mathbf{C})\varkappa\sum_{l=0}^{M}\frac{1}{l!}b_{2,l}\alpha^{(l,M)}(t,\mathbf{C})+$$
$$+\frac{1}{3}\big(V_3(t)+\sigma^{(M)}(t,\mathbf{C})\varkappa\sum_{l=0}^{(M)}\frac{1}{l!}W_{3,l}(t)\alpha^{(l,M)}(t,\mathbf{C})\big)\Big]\hat{p}-$$
$$-\frac{1}{3!}\Lambda^{(M)}_{(3)}(x^{(M)}(t,\mathbf{C}),t,\mathbf{C})\hat{p}\Delta x^3+\frac{1}{2}DA^{(M)}_{(2)}(x^{(M)}(t,\mathbf{C}),t,\mathbf{C})\Delta x^2, \quad (68)$$
.....

Note that the operators $\hat{L}^{(M)}_{(k)}(t,\mathbf{C})$, $k=0,1,2,\ldots$, in (65) are not uniquely determined.

We call the expansion

$$D\hat{L}(x,t,\mathbf{C}) = \hat{\tilde{L}}^{(M)}_{(0)}(t,\mathbf{C}) + \hat{\tilde{L}}^{(M)}_{(1)}(t,\mathbf{C}) + \hat{\tilde{L}}^{(M)}_{(2)}(t,\mathbf{C}) + \ldots$$

to be equivalent to (66) if

$$\hat{\tilde{L}}^{(M)}_{(k)} - \hat{L}^{(M)}_{(k)} \sim \hat{O}(D^{(k+1)/2}).$$

We shall seek the trajectory-concentrated solutions $v(x,t,D)\in\mathcal{P}^D_t$ to the linear associated equation (50) in the form

$$v(x,t,\mathbf{C},D) = v^{(0)}(x,t,\mathbf{C},D) + \sqrt{D}v^{(1)}(x,t,\mathbf{C},D) + Dv^{(2)}(x,t,\mathbf{C},D) + \ldots, \quad (69)$$

where $v^{(k)}(x,t,\mathbf{C},D)\in\mathcal{J}^D_t(x^{(M)}(t,\mathbf{C}),S(t,D))$. The class of functions $\mathcal{J}^D_t(x^{(M)}(t,\mathbf{C}),S(t,D))\subset\mathcal{P}^D_t(x^{(M)}(t,\mathbf{C}),S(t,D))$ is obtained from $\mathcal{P}^D_t$ if a function $\varphi(\eta,t)$ entering into the definition of the class does not depend on the parameter D.

Substituting the expansion (69) in the linear equation (50), we have

$$D\hat{L}(x,t,\mathbf{C})v(x,t,\mathbf{C},D) = O(D^{(M+1)/2}), \quad (70)$$

where $D\hat{L}(x,t,\mathbf{C})$ is taken in the form (65). Equating the terms having the same estimate in the parameter D, in view of the estimates (3), (7), and (38), we obtain the following system of recurrent equations:

$$\hat{L}^{(M)}_{(0)}(t,\mathbf{C})v^{(0)} = 0, \quad (71)$$
$$\hat{L}^{(M)}_{(0)}(t,\mathbf{C})v^{(1)} + \hat{L}^{(M)}_{(1)}(t,\mathbf{C})v^{(0)} = 0, \quad (72)$$

$$\hat{L}^{(M)}_{(0)}(t,\mathbf{C})v^{(2)} + \hat{L}^{(M)}_{(1)}(t,\mathbf{C})v^{(1)} + \hat{L}^{(M)}_{(2)}(t,\mathbf{C})v^{(0)} = 0, \tag{73}$$
$$\cdots\cdots\cdots\cdots\cdots\cdots\cdots\cdots$$

Thus, solving system (71)–(73) to a given accuracy $O(D^{(M+1)/2})$, we can obtain the desired asymptotic solution of the nonlocal Fisher–KPP equation (1) corresponding to (57).

5 The leading order terms of semiclassical solutions

We construct here an explicit family of particular solutions $v^{(0)}(x,t,\mathbf{C})$ for equation (71). Such solutions are widely used in the Maslov complex germ theory [27, 28] and form a convenient basis for solutions of the associated linear equation (71). With the help of the solutions obtained, we find the corresponding leading order terms of the semiclassical asymptotic expansions for the nonlocal Fisher–KPP equation.

Let us seek a particular solution to equation (71) in the form

$$v^{(0)}_0(x,t,\mathbf{C}) = N_D \exp\left\{\frac{1}{D}\left(S(t,D) + \frac{1}{2}Q(t)\Delta x^2\right) + \varphi(t,D)\right\}, \tag{74}$$

where N_D is a constant, the function $Q(t)$ is to be determined, and $S(t,D)$ is determined by (62). Substituting (74) in (71) and equating the coefficients of the terms with identical powers of Δx, we obtain the following system of equations:

$$\dot{\varphi} + \Lambda^{(M)}_x(x^{(M)}(t,\mathbf{C}),t,\mathbf{C}) - Q = 0, \tag{75}$$

$$\frac{1}{2}\dot{Q} - Q^2 + \Lambda^{(M)}_x(x^{(M)}(t,\mathbf{C}),t,\mathbf{C})Q = 0. \tag{76}$$

From (75) it follows that

$$\varphi(t,D) = \int_0^t [-\Lambda^{(M)}_x(x^{(M)}(t,\mathbf{C}),t,\mathbf{C}) + Q(t)]dt. \tag{77}$$

The linear equation (71) is quadratic in x and ∂_x, and therefore (see, e.g., [27]) it admits a symmetry operator linear in x and ∂_x. Let us seek this operator in the form

$$\hat{a}(t,\mathbf{C}) = N_a[Z(t,\mathbf{C})\hat{p} - W(t,\mathbf{C})\Delta x], \tag{78}$$

where N_a is a constant factor. The functions $Z(t,\mathbf{C})$ and $W(t,\mathbf{C})$ are determined from the condition that the commutator should be equal to zero:

$$[\hat{L}_0(t,\mathbf{C}),\hat{a}(t,\mathbf{C})]=0. \tag{79}$$

Here $\hat{L}_0=-\hat{T}+\hat{p}^2-\Lambda_x^{(M)}\hat{p}\Delta x$, where $\hat{T}=D\partial_t+\dot{x}^{(M)}\hat{p}-\dot{S}$ and $\hat{p}=D\partial_x$.

Relation (79) will be hold if the functions $Z(t,\mathbf{C})$ and $W(t,\mathbf{C})$ satisfy the equations

$$\begin{cases}\dot{W}=-\Lambda_x^{(M)}(x^{(M)}(t,\mathbf{C}),t)W,\\ \dot{Z}=-2W+\Lambda_x^{(M)}(x^{(M)}(t,\mathbf{C}),t)Z.\end{cases} \tag{80}$$

In addition,

$$Q(t,\mathbf{C})=\frac{W(t,\mathbf{C})}{Z(t,\mathbf{C})} \tag{81}$$

is the solution of equation (76), as one can check directly. Equations (80) constitute the system in variations [28] to equation (76).

From (80) and (81) we obtain

$$\begin{cases}\Lambda_x^{(M)}(x^{(M)}(t,\mathbf{C}),t)=-\dfrac{\dot{W}}{W},\\ Q-\dfrac{1}{2}\Lambda_x^{(M)}(x^{(M)}(t,\mathbf{C}),t)=-\dfrac{1}{2}\dfrac{\dot{Z}}{Z}.\end{cases} \tag{82}$$

Then (77) can be written as

$$\exp\Big[\varphi(t,D)\Big]=\exp\Big[\int\limits_0^t[-\Lambda_x^{(M)}(x^{(M)}(t,\mathbf{C}),t,\mathbf{C})+Q(t)]dt\Big]=$$

$$=\exp\Big[\frac{1}{2}\int_0^t\Big(\frac{\dot{W}(t,\mathbf{C})}{W(t,\mathbf{C})}-\frac{\dot{Z}(t,\mathbf{C})}{Z(t,\mathbf{C})}\Big)dt\Big]=\sqrt{\frac{Z(0)W(t,\mathbf{C})}{Z(t,\mathbf{C})W(0)}} \tag{83}$$

and, in view of (63), solution (74) can be presented as

$$v_0^{(0)}(x,t,\mathbf{C})=N_D\sqrt{\frac{Z(0)W(t,\mathbf{C})}{Z(t,\mathbf{C})W(0)}}\frac{\sigma^{(M)}(t,\mathbf{C})}{\sigma^{(M)}(0)}\exp\Big[\frac{1}{2D}\frac{W(t,\mathbf{C})}{Z(t,\mathbf{C})}\Delta x^2\Big]. \tag{84}$$

Using the solutions of the system in variations (80), we compose a two-dimensional vector $a(t)=a(t,\mathbf{C})=(W(t,\mathbf{C}),Z(t,\mathbf{C}))$ and designate by $a^{(+)}(t,\mathbf{C})=(W^{(+)}(t),Z^{(+)}(t))$ and $a^{(-)}(t,\mathbf{C})=(W^{(-)}(t),Z^{(-)}(t))$ the solutions of the system in variations that satisfy the conditions

$$W^{(+)}(0)=b,\quad W^{(-)}(0)=-b,\quad b>0,\quad Z^{(\pm)}(0)=1. \tag{85}$$

Note the fact essential to the subsequent considerations: For the solutions of the system in variations, the following skew-scalar product is preserved:

$$W^{(+)}(t)Z^{(-)}(t) - Z^{(+)}(t)W^{(-)}(t) = 2b. \tag{86}$$

The vectors $a^{(\pm)}(t) = (Z^{\pm}(t), W^{(\pm)}(t))$ are associated with two symmetry operators of the form (78): $\hat{a}^{(-)}(t, \mathbf{C})$ and $\hat{a}^{(+)}(t, \mathbf{C})$, which, following the terminology of quantum mechanics, can be naturally termed the operators of "annihilation" and "creation" of states, respectively. Using formula (84), we can construct two solutions to equation (71): $v_0^{-(0)}(x, t, \mathbf{C})$ and $v_0^{+(0)}(x, t, \mathbf{C})$. In what follows, any of the functions $v_0^{-(0)}(x, t, \mathbf{C})$ and $v_0^{+(0)}(x, t, \mathbf{C})$ will be required to us. For definiteness, we choose the function $v_0^{-(0)}(x, t, \mathbf{C})$, and hereinafter we shall omit the superscript "$-$" where it does not cause misunderstanding:

$$v_0^{(0)}(x, t, \mathbf{C}) = N_D \sqrt{-\frac{W^{(-)}(t)}{bZ^{(-)}(t)}} \frac{\sigma^{(M)}(t, \mathbf{C})}{\sigma(0)} \exp\Big[\frac{1}{2D}\frac{W^{(-)}(t)}{Z^{(-)}(t)}\Delta x^2\Big]. \tag{87}$$

We also assume that $W^{(-)}(t)Z^{(-)}(t) < 0$.

Normalizing the operators $\hat{a}^{(\pm)}(t, \mathbf{C})$ by the condition

$$[\hat{a}^{(-)}, \hat{a}^{(+)}] = 1,$$

we then have

$$\hat{a}^{(+)}(t, \mathbf{C}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2bD}}[Z^{(+)}(t)D\partial_x - W^{(+)}(t)\Delta x], \tag{88}$$

$$\hat{a}^{(-)}(t, \mathbf{C}) = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2bD}}[Z^{(-)}(t)D\partial_x - W^{(-)}(t)\Delta x]. \tag{89}$$

Note that the function given by (87) describes a "vacuum" state for the operator $\hat{a}^{(-)}(t, \mathbf{C})$:

$$\hat{a}^{(-)}(t, \mathbf{C})v_0^{(0)}(x, t, \mathbf{C}) = 0. \tag{90}$$

Set the normalizing factor N_D by

$$N_D = \sqrt[4]{\frac{b}{\pi D}}.$$

Let us specify a set of functions resulting from sequential actions of the creation operators on the vacuum state:

$$v_n^{(0)}(x, t, \mathbf{C}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n!}}(\hat{a}^{(+)}(t, \mathbf{C}))^n v_0^{(0)}(x, t, \mathbf{C}). \tag{91}$$

Using the Rodrigues formula for Hermite polynomials [15]

$$H_n(\xi) = (-1)^n e^{\xi^2} (e^{-\xi^2})^{(n)},$$

we write

$$v_n^{(0)}(x,t,\mathbf{C}) = \frac{(-1)^n}{\sqrt{2^n n!}} \Big[\frac{Z^{(+)}(t)}{Z^{(-)}(t)}\Big]^{n/2} H_n\left(\sqrt{\frac{b}{DZ^{(-)}(t)Z^{(+)}(t)}}\Delta x\right) v_0^{(0)}(x,t,\mathbf{C}). \tag{92}$$

From the definitions of the operator $\hat{a}^{(+)}(t,\mathbf{C})$ and function $v_0^{(0)}(x,t,\mathbf{C})$ it follows that the functions given by (91) will be a solution of equation (71) for an arbitrary natural n.

Let us consider an auxiliary set of functions:

$$w_n^{(0)}(x,t,\mathbf{C}) = \frac{(-1)^n}{\sqrt{2^n n!}} \Big[\frac{Z^{(-)}(t)}{Z^{(+)}(t)}\Big]^{n/2} H_n\left(\sqrt{\frac{b}{DZ^{(+)}(t)Z^{(-)}(t)}}\Delta x\right) w_0^{(0)}(x,t,\mathbf{C}), \tag{93}$$

where

$$w_0^{(0)}(x,t,\mathbf{C}) = \frac{N_D\sigma(0)}{\sigma^{(M)}(t,\mathbf{C})}\sqrt{\frac{-b}{W^{(-)}(t)Z^{(+)}(t)}}\exp\Big[-\frac{1}{2D}\frac{W^{(+)}(t)}{Z^{(+)}(t)}\Delta x^2\Big]. \tag{94}$$

By analogy with the terminology of quantum mechanics, we shall term the functions given by (91) *the semiclassical trajectory-coherent solutions* of the Fisher–KPP equation (1).

The functions $\{v_n^{(0)}(x,t,\mathbf{C})\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ of the form (92) and the functions $\{w_m^{(0)}(x,t,\mathbf{C})\}_{m=0}^{\infty}$ of the form (93) are biorthogonal:

$$\int\limits_{-\infty}^{+\infty} v_n^{(0)}(x,t,\mathbf{C}) w_m^{(0)}(x,t,\mathbf{C}) dx = \delta_{nm}, \tag{95}$$

and they satisfy the completeness condition

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} v_n^{(0)}(x,t,\mathbf{C}) w_n^{(0)}(y,t,\mathbf{C}) = \delta(x-y). \tag{96}$$

According to Theorem 1 (see (57)), the asymptotic solutions of the nonlinear equation (1), accurate to $O(D^{3/2})$, are

$$u_n(x,t) = v_n^{(0)}(x,t,\mathbf{C}_n). \tag{97}$$

Here, the constants $\mathbf{C}_n$ are determined by the equation $\mathfrak{g}^{(M)}(t,\mathbf{C}_n)=\mathfrak{g}^{(M)}_{\varphi_n^{(0)}}(t)$. The functions $u_n(x,t)$ satisfy the initial conditions

$$u_n(x,t)|_{t=0}=\varphi_n^{(0)}(x)=v_n^{(0)}(x,0,\mathbf{C}_n), \tag{98}$$

where $v_n^{(0)}(x,0,\mathbf{C}_n)$ is given by (92).

The general solution of the EE system (39)–(41) can be presented in the Cauchy form; that is, the initial conditions $\mathbf{C}=(\sigma(0,D),x(0,D),\alpha^{(0)}(0,D))$ can be taken as integration constants (see, e.g., (45) and (46)). Then the constants $\mathbf{C}_n$ in (98) will be determined from the equalities

$$\mathbf{C}=(\sigma(0,D),x(0,D),\alpha^{(0)}(0,D))=\mathbf{C}_n=(\sigma_n,x_n,\alpha_n^{(0)}), \tag{99}$$

where

$$\sigma_n=\int\limits_{-\infty}^{+\infty}v_n^{(0)}(x,0)dx; \tag{100}$$

$$x_n=\frac{1}{\sigma_n}\int\limits_{-\infty}^{+\infty}xv_n^{(0)}(x,0)dx; \tag{101}$$

$$\alpha_n^{(k)}=\frac{1}{\sigma_n}\int\limits_{-\infty}^{+\infty}\Delta x^k v_n^{(0)}(x,0)dx,\quad k=\overline{2,M}. \tag{102}$$

Let us calculate the constants $\mathbf{C}_n$. To construct asymptotic solutions accurate to $O(D^{3/2})$, it suffices to consider the case $M=2$:

$$\sigma_n=\int\limits_{-\infty}^{+\infty}v_n^{(0)}(x,0)dx=\int\limits_{-\infty}^{+\infty}\frac{(-1)^n}{\sqrt{2^n n!}}\Big[\frac{Z^{(+)}(t)}{Z^{(-)}(t)}\Big]^{n/2}H_n\left(\sqrt{\frac{b}{DZ^{(-)}(t)Z^{(+)}(t)}}\Delta x\right)\times$$
$$\times N_D\sqrt{-\frac{W^{(-)}(t)}{bZ^{(-)}(t)}}\frac{\sigma^{(M)}(t,\mathbf{C})}{\sigma(0)}\exp\Big[\frac{1}{2D}\frac{W^{(-)}(t)}{Z^{(-)}(t)}\Delta x^2\Big]\Big|_{t=0}dx=$$
$$=\frac{(-1)^nN_D}{\sqrt{2^n n!}}\int\limits_{-\infty}^{+\infty}H_n\left(\sqrt{\frac{b}{D}}\Delta x\right)\exp\Big[-\frac{b}{2D}\Delta x^2\Big]dx=$$
$$=\frac{(-1)^nN_D}{\sqrt{2^n n!}}\sqrt{\frac{D}{b}}\int\limits_{-\infty}^{+\infty}H_n(y)\exp\Big[-\frac{1}{2}y^2\Big]dy=$$

$$= \sqrt[4]{\frac{D}{\pi b}} \begin{cases} 0, & n = 2l+1; \\ \dfrac{\sqrt{2\pi}}{\sqrt{2^{2l}(2l)!}} \dfrac{(2l)!}{l!}, & n = 2l. \end{cases} \tag{103}$$

Hence, $\sigma_{2l+1} \equiv 0$ and $\sigma_{2l} = \sqrt[4]{\dfrac{4\pi D}{b}} \dfrac{\sqrt{(2l)!}}{2^l l!}$. Here we have used the relations

$$\begin{aligned} I_n &= \int\limits_{-\infty}^{+\infty} H_n(y) \exp\Big[-\frac{1}{2}y^2\Big] dy = \\ &= \frac{\partial^n}{\partial s^n} \int\limits_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \exp\Big[-\frac{1}{2}y^2\Big] \exp\Big[-s^2+2sy\Big] dy \Big|_{s=0} = \\ &= \frac{\partial^n}{\partial s^n} \exp\Big[-s^2\Big] \sqrt{2\pi} \exp\Big[2s^2\Big] \Big|_{s=0} = \sqrt{2\pi} \frac{\partial^n}{\partial s^n} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{s^{2k}}{k!} \Big|_{s=0} = \\ &= \sqrt{2\pi} \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \frac{2k(2k-1)\dots(2k-n+1)}{k!} s^{2k-n} \Big|_{s=0} = \\ &= \begin{cases} 0, & n = 2l+1; \\ \sqrt{2\pi} \dfrac{(2l)!}{l!}, & n = 2l, \end{cases} \end{aligned} \tag{104}$$

and

$$\int\limits_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \exp\Big[-\varpi^2 y^2 + 2sy\Big] dy = \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{\varpi} \exp\Big[\frac{s^2}{\varpi^2}\Big], \quad N_D = \sqrt[4]{\frac{b}{\pi D}}. \tag{105}$$

Similarly, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} x_{2n} &= \frac{1}{\sigma_{2n}} \int\limits_{-\infty}^{+\infty} x v_{2n}^{(0)}(x,0) dx = \\ &= \frac{1}{\sigma_{2n}} \int\limits_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \Delta x v_{2n}^{(0)}(x,0) dx + \frac{x_0}{\sigma_{2n}} \int\limits_{-\infty}^{+\infty} v_{2n}^{(0)}(x,0) dx = x_0 \end{aligned} \tag{106}$$

and

$$\alpha_{2n}^{(2)} = \frac{1}{\sigma_{2n}} \int\limits_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \Delta x^2 v_{2n}^{(0)}(x,0) dx =$$

$$= \frac{1}{\sigma_{2n}} \frac{N_D}{\sqrt{2^{2n}(2n)!}} \int\limits_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \Delta x^2 H_{2n}\left(\sqrt{\frac{b}{D}}\Delta x\right) \exp\Big[-\frac{b}{2D}\Delta x^2\Big] dx =$$

$$= \frac{1}{\sigma_{2n}} \frac{N_D}{2^n\sqrt{(2n)!}} \sqrt{\frac{D^3}{b^3}} \int\limits_{-\infty}^{+\infty} y^2 H_{2n}(y) \exp\Big[-\frac{1}{2}y^2\Big] dy =$$

$$= \sqrt[4]{\frac{b}{4\pi D}} \frac{2^n n!}{\sqrt{(2n)!}} \sqrt[4]{\frac{b}{\pi D}} \frac{1}{2^n\sqrt{(2n)!}} \sqrt{\frac{D^3}{b^3}} \sqrt{2\pi} \frac{(2n)!}{n!}[1+4n] =$$

$$= \frac{D}{b}[1+4n]. \tag{107}$$

Here we have taken into account that $H_n'(y) = 2nH_{n-1}(y)$ and

$$J_n = \int\limits_{-\infty}^{+\infty} y^2 H_{2n}(y) \exp\Big[-\frac{1}{2}y^2\Big] dy =$$

$$= \left| \begin{array}{ll} U = yH_{2n}(y) & dU = (yH_{2n}(y))' dy \\ dV = ye^{-y^2/2}dy & V = -e^{-y^2/2} \end{array} \right| =$$

$$= -yH_{2n}(y)\, e^{-y^2/2}\Big|_{-\infty}^{\infty} + \int\limits_{-\infty}^{+\infty} [yH_{2n}'(y) + H_{2n}(y)] \exp\Big[-\frac{1}{2}y^2\Big] dy =$$

$$= I_{2n} + \int\limits_{-\infty}^{+\infty} y(4n) H_{2n-1}(y) \exp\Big[-\frac{1}{2}y^2\Big] dy =$$

$$= \left| \begin{array}{ll} U = H_{2n-1}(y) & dU = H_{2n-1}'(y)\, dy \\ dV = ye^{-y^2/2}dy & V = -e^{-y^2/2} \end{array} \right| =$$

$$= I_{2n} + 4n \int\limits_{-\infty}^{+\infty} H_{2n-1}'(y) \exp\Big[-\frac{1}{2}y^2\Big] dy = I_{2n} + 4(2n)(2n-1) I_{2n-2} =$$

$$= \sqrt{2\pi}\Big[\frac{(2n)!}{n!} + 4(2n)(2n-1)\frac{(2n-2)!}{(n-1)!}\Big] = \sqrt{2\pi}\frac{(2n)!}{n!}[1+4n]. \tag{108}$$

Thus, under the conditions

$$x_{2n}(0,D) = x_0,\ \sigma_{2n}(0,D) = \sqrt[4]{\frac{4\pi D}{b}} \frac{\sqrt{(2n)!}}{2^n n!},$$
$$\alpha_{2n}^{(2)}(0,D) = \frac{D(1+4n)}{b}, \tag{109}$$

the functions $u_{2n+1}(x,t,D) \equiv 0$, and $u_{2n}(x,t,D)$ determined by equations

(97) are the desired, accurate to $O(D^{3/2})$, asymptotic solutions for the nonlinear equation (1).

6 Large time asymptotics to quasi-stationary solutions

We have already noted (see Section 4) that the semiclassical asymptotics constructed above (see equations (57)) hold for a finite time interval $t \in [0, T]$, where T does not depend on D and the asymptotic estimate fails to hold for large T.

For certain types of the functions $a(x,t)$, $b(x,y,t)$, $V(x,t)$, and $W(x,y,t)$ entering into equation (1), the residual of asymptotic solutions may be limited or even decrease with time [36]. For example, from (46) it follows that the variance increases infinitely as $T \to \infty$, and therefore $u(x,t)$ tends to zero. As $u(x,t) = 0$ is an exact stationary solution of (1), the constructed semiclassical asymptotics (57) can be treated as a perturbation of the stationary solution. This raises the problem of finding other exact solutions with the asymptotic solutions treated as their perturbations.

Consider an example of the one-dimensional Fisher–KPP equation (1) with the following coefficients:

$$V(x,t) = 0, \quad W(x,y,t) = 0, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, \quad a(x,t) = a = \text{const},$$
$$b(x,y,t) = b(x-y), \quad \int\limits_{-\infty}^{\infty} b(x-y)dy = B = \text{const}, \quad |B| < \infty. \tag{110}$$

Equation (1) in this case takes the form

$$u_t(x,t) = Du_{xx}(x,t) + au(x,t) - \varkappa u(x,t) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} b(x-y)u(y,t)dy. \tag{111}$$

A spatially homogeneous particular solution of equation (111) is sought in the form

$$u(x,t) = u_0(x,t) = \beta(t), \quad u_0(x,t)\big|_{t=0} = \beta\big|_{t=0} = \beta_0. \tag{112}$$

Substituting (112) in (111), we obtain the Verhulst equation for the function $\beta(t)$:

$$\dot{\beta} = a\beta - \varkappa B\beta^2. \tag{113}$$

Using the procedure by which we have obtained (42) and (46), we find

$$u_0(x,t) = \beta(t) = \frac{\beta_0 e^{at}}{1 + \varkappa\frac{\beta_0}{a}B(e^{at} - 1)}, \tag{114}$$

whence

$$\lim_{t\to\infty} u_0(x,t) = \frac{a}{\varkappa B} = u_\infty. \tag{115}$$

We seek a solution to equation (111) as

$$u(x,t) = u_0(x,t) + \bar{u}(x,t). \tag{116}$$

We refer to solutions of this type as quasi-stationary functions. Note that solution (112) does not belong to L_2, and therefore it can not be described by semiclassical asymptotics (57).

Substituting (116) in (111), we obtain

$$\bar{u}_t(x,t) - D\bar{u}_{xx}(x,t) - \Big(a - \varkappa B\beta(t)\Big)\bar{u}(x,t) + \\ + \varkappa\beta(t)\int\limits_{-\infty}^{\infty} b(x-y)\bar{u}(y,t)dy + \varkappa\bar{u}(x,t)\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} b(x-y)\bar{u}(y,t)dy = 0. \tag{117}$$

Equation (117) has the structure of equation (1) and can be solved by the above semiclassical asymptotic method.

Consider the problem of small perturbations for the solutions (114). Let the initial condition for the function $\bar{u}(x,t)$ be

$$\bar{u}(x,0) = \varepsilon\varphi(x), \quad 0 < \varepsilon \ll \frac{\beta_0}{\|\varphi(x)\|}. \tag{118}$$

Note that the small parameter ε in (118) can be chosen in various ways.

For instance, if we put $t = \varepsilon s$, where s is dimensionless time and T is the characteristic evolution time of a population system, we can choose $\varepsilon = 1/T$ and the asymptotic solutions with the small parameter ε will describe the behavior of the system for a large time T.

Here the function $\varphi(x)$ belongs to the Schwartz space. For equation (111), the Cauchy problem (117), (118) generates the initial condition

$$u\Big|_{t=0} = \beta_0 + \varepsilon\varphi(x). \tag{119}$$

We seek an asymptotic solution to equation (124) in the class of functions regularly depending on the small parameter ε, $\varepsilon \to 0$:

$$\bar{u}(x,t) = \bar{u}(x,t,\varepsilon) = \varepsilon\bar{u}^{(1)}(x,t) + \varepsilon^2\bar{u}^{(2)}(x,t) + \dots . \tag{120}$$

Substituting the expansion (120) in (111) and equating terms of equal powers of ε, we obtain

$$\bar{u}^{(1)}_t(x,t) - D\bar{u}^{(1)}_{xx}(x,t) - \Big(a - \varkappa B\beta(t)\Big)\bar{u}^{(1)}(x,t) +$$

$$+ \varkappa\beta(t) \int\limits_{-\infty}^{\infty} b(x-y)\bar{u}^{(1)}(y,t)dy = 0, \tag{121}$$

$$\bar{u}_t^{(2)}(x,t) - D\bar{u}_{xx}^{(2)}(x,t) + \varkappa\beta(t) \int\limits_{-\infty}^{\infty} b(x-y)\bar{u}^{(2)}(y,t)dy - \Big(a-$$

$$- \varkappa B\beta(t)\Big)\bar{u}^{(2)}(x,t) + \varkappa\bar{u}^{(1)}(x,t) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} b(x-y)\bar{u}^{(1)}(y,t)dy = 0, \tag{122}$$

. . . . . .

The initial conditions (118) yield

$$\bar{u}^{(1)}\Big|_{t=0} = \varphi(x), \quad \bar{u}^{(k)}\Big|_{t=0} = 0, \quad k = \overline{2,\infty}. \tag{123}$$

Applying the Fourier transform

$$\tilde{f}(\omega) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int\limits_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x)e^{-i\omega x}dx, \quad f(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int\limits_{-\infty}^{\infty} \tilde{f}(\omega)e^{i\omega x}d\omega$$

to the right and left sides of equation (121), in view of the initial conditions (123), we find

$$\tilde{u}_t^{(1)}(p,t) + \left[Dp^2 - a + \varkappa\left(B + \sqrt{2\pi}\ \tilde{b}(p)\right)\beta(t)\right]\tilde{u}^{(1)}(p,t) = 0, \tag{124}$$

$$\tilde{u}^{(1)}(p,t)\Big|_{t=0} = \tilde{\varphi}(p).$$

Here, $\tilde{u}^{(1)}$, $\tilde{b}$, and $\tilde{\varphi}$ are the Fourier images of the functions $\bar{u}^{(1)}$, b, and φ, respectively. From (124) we find

$$\tilde{u}^{(1)}(p,t) = \tilde{\varphi}(p)\exp\left[-Dp^2t + at - \varkappa\left(B + \sqrt{2\pi}\ \tilde{b}(p)\right)\chi(t)\right], \tag{125}$$

where $\chi(t) = \int_0^t \beta(s)ds$.

Applying the inverse Fourier transform to $\tilde{u}^{(1)}(p,t)$ and taking into account (123), we write

$$\bar{u}^{(1)}(x,t) = \int\limits_{-\infty}^{\infty} G^{(1)}(x,y,t,0)\varphi(y)dy, \tag{126}$$

where

$$G^{(1)}(x,y,t,s) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int\limits_{-\infty}^{\infty} \exp\Big[ip(x-y) + a(t-s) - Dp^2(t-s) -$$

$$- \varkappa\Big(B + \sqrt{2\pi}\ \tilde{b}(p)\Big)[\chi(t) - \chi(s)]\Big] dp. \tag{127}$$

Similarly, from (122), (123), and (127), we obtain

$$\bar{u}^{(2)}(x,t) = -\int\limits_0^t ds \int\limits_{-\infty}^{\infty} G^{(1)}(x,y,t,s) f(y,s)\, dy. \tag{128}$$

From (122) it follows that

$$f(y,t) = \varkappa \bar{u}^{(1)}(y,t) \int\limits_{-\infty}^{\infty} b(y-z)\bar{u}^{(1)}(z,t)\, dz. \tag{129}$$

In the same way, we can find the functions $\bar{u}^{(k)}$, $k = 3, 4, \ldots$. Substituting (127) in (126), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\bar{u}^{(1)}(x,t) = \int\limits_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int\limits_{-\infty}^{\infty} dp \exp\Big[ip(x-y) - Dp^2 t + at - \\
- \varkappa\big(B + \sqrt{2\pi}\ \tilde{b}(p)\big)\chi(t)\Big]\varphi(y) dy = \\
= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int\limits_{-\infty}^{\infty} dp \exp\Big[ipx - Dp^2 t + at - \\
- \varkappa\big(B + \sqrt{2\pi}\ \tilde{b}(p)\big)\chi(t)\Big]\tilde{\varphi}(p).
\end{aligned} \tag{130}$$

As an example, consider an influence function and initial functions of the form

$$b(x) = b_0 \exp\big[-x^2/\gamma^2\big] \tag{131}$$

and

$$\varphi(x) = \varphi_n(x) = N u_n\big(\theta(x - x_0)\big), \tag{132}$$

respectively. Here $u_n(x)$ are the Hermite functions, $n = 0, 1, \ldots$, $(\theta > 0)$ and x_0 are parameters. Then we have

$$\tilde{b}(p) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}\gamma} b_0 \exp\Big[-\frac{p^2\gamma^2}{4}\Big], \quad \tilde{\varphi}_n(p) = (-i)^n \frac{N}{\theta} e^{-ipx_0} u_n\Big(\frac{p}{\theta}\Big).$$

Substituting (131) and (132) in (130), we obtain

$\bar{u}^{(1)}(x,t) = \bar{u}_n^{(1)}(x,t) =$

$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}(-i)^n \frac{N}{\theta} \int\limits_{-\infty}^{\infty} dp\, \exp\Big[ip(x-x_0) - \big(Dp^2 - a\big)t - \varkappa B\chi(t)\Big] \times$$

$$\times \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!}\Big[\varkappa \frac{b_0\sqrt{\pi}}{\gamma}\chi(t)\Big]^k \exp\Big[-k\frac{p^2\gamma^2}{4}\Big] u_n\Big(\frac{p}{\theta}\Big) =$$

$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}(-i)^n \frac{N}{\theta} \exp\big[at - \varkappa B\chi(t)\big] \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!}\Big[\varkappa \frac{b_0\sqrt{\pi}}{\gamma}\chi(t)\Big]^k \times$$

$$\times \int\limits_{-\infty}^{\infty} dp \exp\Big[ip(x-x_0) - \Big(Dt + k\frac{\gamma^2}{4} + \frac{1}{2\theta^2}\Big)p^2\Big] \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^n n!\sqrt{\pi}}} H_n\Big(\frac{p}{\theta}\Big) =$$

$$= (-i)^n \frac{N}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp\Big[at - \varkappa B\chi(t)\Big] \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!}\Big[\varkappa \frac{b_0\sqrt{\pi}}{\gamma}\chi(t)\Big]^k \times$$

$$\times \Big(\frac{4\pi}{4D\theta^2 t + k\gamma^2\theta^2 + 2}\Big)^{1/2} \Big(\frac{4D\theta^2 t + k\gamma^2\theta^2 - 2}{4D\theta^2 t + k\gamma^2\theta^2 + 2}\Big)^n \times$$

$$\times \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^n n!\sqrt{\pi}}} \exp\Big[\frac{-\theta^2(x-x_0)^2}{4D\theta^2 t + k\gamma^2\theta^2 + 2}\Big] H_n\Big(\frac{2i\theta(x-x_0)}{[(4Dt + k\gamma^2)^2\theta^4 - 4]^{1/2}}\Big). \quad (133)$$

Expand the functions $\bar{u}^{(1)}(x,t)$ from (133) in series of the Hermite functions $u_m\big(\theta(x-x_0)\big)$:

$$\bar{u}_n^{(1)}(x,t) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} C_m^{(n)}(t) u_m\big(\theta(x-x_0)\big). \quad (134)$$

Using

$$\int\limits_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx u_m\big(\theta(x-x_0)\big) \exp\Big[ip(x-x_0)\Big] = \sqrt{2\pi}\frac{(+i)^m}{\theta} u_m\Big(\frac{p}{\theta}\Big),$$

we have

$$C_m^{(n)}(t) = (-i)^{n-m}\frac{N}{\theta^2} \int\limits_{-\infty}^{\infty} dp \exp\Big[\big(-Dp^2 + a\big)t - \varkappa B\chi(t)\Big] \times$$

$$\times \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!}\Big[\varkappa \frac{b_0\pi}{\gamma}\chi(t)\Big]^k \exp\big[-k\frac{p^2\gamma^2}{4}\big] u_n\Big(\frac{p}{\theta}\Big) u_m\Big(\frac{p}{\theta}\Big) =$$

$$= (-i)^{n-m}\frac{N}{\theta^2} \exp\Big[at - \varkappa B\chi(t)\Big] \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!}\Big[\varkappa \frac{b_0\pi}{\gamma}\chi(t)\Big]^k \frac{\theta}{\sqrt{2^{n+m}n!m!\pi}} \times$$

$$\times \int\limits_{-\infty}^{\infty} ds \exp\big[-\big(D\theta^2 t + k\frac{\gamma^2\theta^2}{4} + 1\big)s^2\big] H_n(s) H_m(s). \tag{135}$$

For the particular case $n = 0$, from (135) we obtain $C_{2l+1}^{(0)}(t) = 0$ and

$$\begin{aligned}
C_{2l}^{(0)}(t) &= (-1)^l \frac{N}{\theta} \exp\Big[at - \varkappa B\chi(t)\Big] \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!}\Big[\varkappa \frac{b_0\pi}{\gamma}\chi(t)\Big]^k \times \\
&\times \frac{1}{2^l\sqrt{(2l)!\pi}} \int\limits_{-\infty}^{\infty} ds \exp\big[-\big(D\theta^2 t + k\frac{\gamma^2\theta^2}{4} + 1\big)s^2\big] H_{2l}(s) = \\
&= \frac{(-1)^l N}{\theta 2^l\sqrt{(2l)!\pi}} \exp\Big[at - \varkappa B\chi(t)\Big] \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!}\Big[\varkappa \frac{b_0\pi}{\gamma}\chi(t)\Big]^k \times \\
&\times \frac{\sqrt{\pi}\big(D\theta^2 t + k\frac{\gamma^2\theta^2}{4}\big)^l}{\big(D\theta^2 t + k\frac{\gamma^2\theta^2}{4} + 1\big)^{(2l+1)/2}} H_{2l}(0) = \\
&= \frac{N\sqrt{(2l)!}}{\theta 2^{l-1} l!} \exp\big[at - \varkappa B\chi(t)\big] \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!}\Big[\varkappa \frac{b_0\pi}{\gamma}\chi(t)\Big]^k \times \\
&\times \frac{1}{\sqrt{4D\theta^2 t + k\gamma^2\theta^2 + 4}} \left(\frac{4D\theta^2 t + k\gamma^2\theta^2}{4D\theta^2 t + k\gamma^2\theta^2 + 4}\right)^l.
\end{aligned} \tag{136}$$

Direct verification shows that we have $C_0^{(0)}(0) = 1$ for $t \to 0$, $C_{2l}^{(0)}(0) = 0$ for $l > 0$, and $C_{2l}^{(0)}(t) \neq 0$ for $t > 0$. Thus, even if the initial distribution $\bar{u}(x, 0)$ in (118) is unimodal, the solution $\bar{u}(x, t)$ becomes multimodal for large t, as follows from (134) and (136).

In particular, for $t \to \infty$ we have

$$C_{2l}^{(0)}(t) \sim \frac{N\sqrt{(2l)!}}{2^l l!\theta^2\sqrt{Dt}} \exp\Big[\frac{b_0\pi at}{\gamma B}\Big], \quad t \to \infty. \tag{137}$$

Here, $\chi(t) \sim \dfrac{at}{\varkappa B}$ as $t \to \infty$.

From the properties (135), (136), and (137) of the expansion (134), we can conclude that the asymptotic solutions (133) describe the evolution of spatial patterns. Thus, the asymptotic approach used can be considered a contribution to the analytical methods of studying pattern formation with the use of the Fisher–KPP equation.

7 Conclusion remarks

In this paper, we have presented two analytical methods for constructing approximate solutions to the nonlocal generalization (1) of the 1D Fisher–KPP equation well known in the population theory.

The greater part of the paper (Section 1 through Section 5) deals with construction of the semiclassical asymptotics based on the WKB–Maslov theory that provides a means for constructing asymptotic solutions in various function spaces.

Here, the semiclassical approximation is considered in the class $\mathcal{P}_t^D$ of trajectory-concentrated functions (2). The key point of the formalism developed is the dynamic Einstein–Ehrenfest system describing the evolution of the moments. The general solution of the EE system, on the one hand, allows one to associate a linear equation with the original Fisher–KPP equation. On the other hand, we formulate algebraic conditions (30) for the integration constants. As a result, we obtain a set of functionals which are integrals of the Fisher–KPP equation.

The original nonlocal Fisher–KPP equation is solved by substituting the found integrals in the solution of the associated linear equation. In this paper, we focus on the most difficult part of the asymptotic series, namely the search for leading terms. The higher-order terms are determined by solving a linear problem, and this does not cause principal difficulties.

The family of particular asymptotic solutions (leading terms) constructed in explicit form in Section 5 can be considered to possess a completeness property in the following sense: At the time zero, $t = 0$, these solutions form a basis in L_2. Using this basis, we can find solutions of the associated linear equation for a wide class of initial distributions. Then the solution of the nonlinear Fisher–KPP equation will be reduced to algebraic conditions for the integration constants of the dynamic EE system.

However, the semiclassical asymptotics are valid for a finite time interval $[0, T]$. Estimation of this interval is beyond the scope of the semiclassical method and requires additional study. Note that in particular cases this interval can be quite small, i.e. the asymptotics will be valid at small times. The smallness of T implies that a spatial structure (pattern) has no time to form in the interval $[0, T]$.

To go beyond these limitations, in the final Section 6, we construct asymptotics representing small perturbations on the background of an exact quasi-stationary solution of the nonlocal Fisher–KPP equation. They are different from the semiclassical asymptotics and can describe the evolution of distributions for large times ($T \to \infty$). In the example considered, an initial ($t = 0$) unimodal distribution becomes mutimodal at large t, and this can be treated

as pattern formation. In other words, the developed approach can be used to analyze the dynamics of pattern formation.

The semiclassical approximation considered here for the 1D nonlocal Fisher–KPP equation in the class of trajectory concentrated functions $\mathcal{P}_t^D$ provides the basic formalism for further generalization to multidimensional cases.

Multidimensional asymptotics can be sought for function spaces different from $\mathcal{P}_t^D$, and this offers additional ways to study the pattern formation in the population dynamics. For instance, we used a semiclassical formalism to describe the formation of 2D patterns by reducing an original 2D problem to a 1D problem for a one-dimensional curve in a two-dimensional space, no invoking exact solutions of the 2D Fisher–KPP equation [39]. However, the solution of the resulting 1D equations gives a complete picture of the population dynamics. Therefore, in our opinion, further generalization of the proposed methods is a topical problem.

Acknowledgements

The work was supported in part by Tomsk State University Competitiveness Improvement Programm and by program 'Nauka' No 1.676.2014/K.

References

[1] Fisher R.A., The wave of advance of advantageous genes, Annual Eugenics **7** (1937) 255–369.

[2] Kolmogorov A.N., Petrovskii I., and Piskunov N., A study of the diffusion equation with increase in the amount of substance and its application to a biology problem, Bull. Univ. Moscow, Ser. Int. A **1** (1937) 1–16. (English transl. in Selected works of A.N. Kolmogorov, ed. V.M. Tikhomirov, vol. I, p. 242, Kluwer Academic Publishers, London (1991)).

[3] Murray J.D., Mathematical Biology. I. An Introduction, third ed., Springer-Verlag, New York, Berlin, Heidelberg, 2001.

[4] Paulau P.V., Gomila D., and Lopez C., Hernandez-Garcia E., Self-localized states in species competition, Phys. Rev. E **89** (2014) 032724 (1–8) arXiv:1402.6121 [nlin.PS].

[5] Hernandez-Garcia E. and Lopez C., Clustering, advection, and patterns in a model of population dynamics with neighborhood-dependent rates, Phys. Rev. E **70** (2004) 016216 (1–11).

[6] Okubo A., Diffusion and Ecological Problems. Springer, New York, Berlin, Heidelberg, 1980.

[7] Achleitner F. and Kuehn C., On bounded positive stationary solutions for a nonlocal Fisher–KPP quation, arXiv:1307.3480 [math.AP].

[8] Murray J.D., Mathematical Biology II: Spatial Models and Biomedical Applications, Springer, New York, 2003.

[9] Meinhardt H., Models of Biological Pattern Formation, Academic Press, London, 1982.

[10] Swanson K.R., Rostomily R., and Alvord E.C., Jr, Predicting survival of patients with glioblastoma by combining a mathematical model and pre-operative MR imaging characteristics: A proof of principle, Br. J. Cancer **98** (2008) 113–119.

[11] Wang C., Rockhill J.K. , Mrugala M., Peacock D.L., Lai A., Jusenius K., Wardlaw J.M., Cloughesy T. , Spence A.M., Rockne R., Alvord E.C., Jr., and Swanson K.R., Prognostic significance of growth kinetics in newly diagnosed glioblastomas revealed by combining serial imaging with a novel biomathematical model, Cancer Res. **69**, No.23 (2009) 9133–40.

[12] Perez-Garcia V.M., Calvo G.F., Belmonte-Beitia J., Diego D., and Perez-Romasanta L.A., Bright solitons in malignant gliomas, Phys. Rev. E **84** (2011) 021921 (1–6).

[13] Cherniha R., New Q-conditional symmetries and exact solutions of some reaction-diffusion-convection equations arising in mathematical biology, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **326** (2007) 783–799.

[14] Levchenko E.A., Shapovalov A.V., and Trifonov A.Yu. Symmetries of the Fisher-Kolmogorov-Petrovskii-Piskunov equation with a nonlocal nonlinearity in a semiclassical approximation, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **395** (2012) 716–726.

[15] Ovsyannikov L.V., Group Analysis of Differential Equations, Academic Press, New York, 1982.

[16] Ibragimov N.H., Transformation groups applied to mathematical physics, Mathematics and its Applications, D. Reidel Publishing, Dordrecht, The Netherlands, 1985 (Soviet Series).

[17] Olver P., Applications of Lie Groups to Differential Equations, Springer, New York, 1987.

[18] Bluman G.W. and Kumei S., Symmetries and Differential Equations, in: Applied Mathematical Sciences, Vol. 81, Springer, New York, 1989.

[19] Maruvka Y.E. and Shnerb N.M., Nonlocal competition and logistic growth: Patterns, defects, and fronts, Phys. Rev. E **73** (2006) 011903 (1–12).

[20] Maruvka Y.E. and Shnerb N.M. Nonlocal competition and front propagation in branching-coalescence systems, Phys. Rev. E **75**, No.4 (2007) 042901 (1–4).

[21] Volpert V. and Petrovskii S., Reactiondiffusion waves in biology, Physics of Life Reviews **6** (2009) 267–310.

[22] Mei Ming and Wang Yong, Remark on stability of traveling waves for nonlocal Fisher–KPP equations, Int. J. Numer. Anal. Modeling B **2**, No.4 (2011) 379–401.

[23] Belmonte-Beitia J., Calvo G.F., and Perez-Garcia V.M., Effective particle methods for Fisher-Kolmogorov equations: Theory and applications to brain tumor dynamics, arXiv:1401.2465 [q-bio.QM].

[24] Sanchez A. and Bishop A.R., Collective coordinates and length-scale in spatially inhomogeneous soliton-bearing equations, SIAM Rev. **40**, No.3 (1998), 579 – 615.

[25] He J.H., Some asymptotic methods for strongly nonlinear equations, Internat. J. Modern Phys. B. **20** (2006) 1141–1199.

[26] Shakeel M., Travelling wave solution of the Fisher-Kolmogorov equation with non-linear diffusion, App. Math., **4** (2013) 148–160.

[27] Maslov V.P., Operational Methods, MIR Pub., Moscow, 1976.

[28] Maslov V.P., The Complex WKB Method for Nonlinear Equations. I. Linear Theory, Birkhauser Verlag, Basel, Boston, Berlin, 1994.

[29] Bagrov V.G., Belov V.V., and Trifonov A.Yu., Semiclassical trajectory-coherent approximation in quantum mechanics: I. High order corrections to multidimensional time-dependent equations of Schrödinger type, Ann. Phys. (NY) **246**, No.2 (1996) 231–280.

[30] Belov V.V. and Dobrokhotov S.Yu., Semiclassical Maslov asymptotics with complex phases. I. General approach, Teor. Mat. Fiz. **92** (1992) 215–254 (English transl.: Theor. and Math. Phys. **92** (1992) 843–868).

[31] da Cunha J.A.R., Penna A.L.A., Vainstein M.H., Morgado R., and Oliveira F.A., Self-organization analysis for a nonlocal convective Fisher equation, Phys. Lett. A **373** (2009) 661–667.

[32] da Cunha J.A.R., Penna A.L.A., and Oliveira F.A., Pattern formation and coexistence domains for a nonlocal population dynamics, Phys. Rev. E. **83** (2011) 015201 (1–4).

[33] Ei Sh.-I., The effect of nonlocal convection on reaction-diffusion equations, Hiroshima Math. J. **17** (1987) 281–307.

[34] Ohara K., Ei Sh.-I., and Nagai T., Stationary solutions of a reaction-diffusion equation with a nonlocal convection, Hiroshima Math. J. **22**, No.2 (1992) 365–386.

[35] Clerc M.G., Trejo M., and Tirapegui E., Pattern formation and localized structures in reaction-diffusion systems with non-Fickian transport, Phys. Rev. Lett. **97** (2006) 176102 (1–4).

[36] Levchenko E.A., Trifonov A.Yu., and Shapovalov A.V., Estimate of accuracy of solution of the nonlocal Fisher–Kolomogorov–Petrovskii–Piskunov equation, Russian Physics J. **55**, No.12 (2013), 1425–1433, Translated from Izvestiya Vysshikh Uchebnykh Zavedenii, Fizika, **55**, No.12 (2012) 47–53.

[37] Trifonov A.Yu. and Shapovalov A.V., The one-dimensional Fisher-Kolmogorov equation with a nonlocal nonlinearity in a semiclassical approximation, Russian Physics J. **52**, No.9 (2009) 899–911, Translated from Izvestiya Vysshikh Uchebnykh Zavedenii, Fizika, **52**, No.9 (2009) 14–23.

[38] Belov V.V., Trifonov A.Yu., and Shapovalov A.V., The trajectory-coherent approximation and the system of moments for the Hartree type equation, Int. J. Math. and Math. Sci. **32**, No.6 (2002) 325–370.

[39] Levchenko E.A., Trifonov A.Yu., and Shapovalov A.V., Pattern formation in terms of semiclassically limited distribution on lower dimensional manifolds for the nonlocal Fisher-Kolmogorov-Petrovskii-Piskunov equation, J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. **47** (2014) 025209 (1–20).